



## References

### Training, Communication and Emotions

1. Albuquerque N, Guo K, Wilkinson A et al. Dogs recognize dog and human emotions. *Biol Letters* 2016; 12: 20150883. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2015.0883>
2. Arhant C, Bubna-Littitz H, Bartels A, et al. Behaviour of smaller and larger dogs: Effects of training methods, inconsistency of owner behaviour and level of engagement in activities with the dog. *Appl Anim Behav Sci* 2010; 123, 131-142
3. Benjamin A, Slocombe K. “Who’s a good boy?!” Dogs prefer naturalistic dog-directed speech. *Anim Cogn* 2018; 21, 353-364
4. Berns GS, Brooks AM, Spivak M. Scent of the familiar: An fMRI study of canine brain responses to familiar and unfamiliar human and dog odors. *Behav Processes* 2015; 110, 37-46
5. Bremhorst A, Bütler S, Würbel H et al. Incentive motivation in pet dogs – preference for constant vs varied food rewards. *Sci Rep.* 2018; 8: 9756
6. Chamove AS. Dogs judge books by their covers. *Anthrozoos* 1997; 10, 50-52
7. China L, Mills DS, Cooper JL. Efficacy of dog training with and without electronic collars vs. a focus on positive reinforcement. *Front Vet Sci* 2020;7:508. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2020.00508
8. Cook PF, Prichard A, Spivak M et al. Awake canine fMRI predicts dogs’ preference for praise vs food, *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci* 2016; 11, 1853–1862
9. Correia-Caeiro C, Guo K, Mills DS. Visual perception of emotion cues in dogs: a critical review of methodologies. *Anim Cogn* 2023; 26, 727–754
10. D’Aniello B, Semin GR, Alterisio A et al. Interspecies transmission of emotional information via chemosignals; from humans to dogs (*Canis lupus familiaris*). *Anim Cogn* 2018; 21, 67-78
11. de Castro ACV, Fuchs D, Pastur S et al. Does training matter? Evidence for the negative impact of aversive-based methods on companion dog welfare. 2019 BioRxiv <https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/823427v1>
12. Deldalle S, Gaunet F. Effects of two training methods on stress-related behavior of the dog (*Canis familiaris*) and on the dog-owner relationship. *J Vet Behav* 2014; 9, 58-65
13. Demant H, Ladewig J, Balsby TJS et al. The effect of frequency and duration of training sessions on acquisition and long-term memory in dogs. *Appl Anim Behav Sci* 2011; 133, 228-234
14. Dodman NH, Brown DC, Serpell JA. Associations between owner personality and psychological status and the prevalence of canine behavior problems. *PLoS ONE* 2018; 13(2): e0192846. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192846>
15. Doane M, Sarenbo S. A modified combined C-BARQ and QoL for both the companion dog and its owner. An embryo to a companion dog welfare assessment? *Appl Anim Behav Sci* 2019; 213, 91-106
16. Feuerbacher EN, Wynne CDL. Shut up and pet me! Domestic dogs (*Canis lupus familiaris*) prefer petting to vocal praise in concurrent and single-choice alternative choice procedures. *Behav Proc* 2015; 110, 47-59
17. Feuerbacher EN, Wynne CDL. Most domestic dogs (*Canis lupus familiaris*) prefer food to petting: Population, context, and schedule effects in concurrent choice. *J Exp Anal Behav* 2014;101:385-405.

18. Feuerbacher EN, Wynne CDL. Relative efficacy of human social interaction and food as reinforcers for domestic dogs and hand reared wolves. *J Exper Anal Behav* 2012; 98, 105-129
19. Flint HE, Coe JB, Pearl DL, et al. Effect of training for dog fear identification on dog owner ratings of fear in familiar and unfamiliar dogs. *Appl Anim Behav Sci* 2018, 208, 66-74
20. Follette MR, Rodriguez KE, Ogata N et al. Military veterans and their PTSD service dogs: Associations between training methods, PTSD severity, dog behavior, and the human-animal bond. *Front Vet Sci* 2019; 6:23. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2019.00023
21. Fukuzawa M, Hayashi N. Comparison of 3 different reinforcements of learning in dogs (*Canis familiaris*) *J Vet Behav* 2013, 8, 221-224
22. Herron ME, Shofer FS, Reisner IR. Survey of the use and outcome of confrontational and non-confrontational training methods in client-owned dogs showing undesired behaviors *App Anim Behav Sci* 2009;117:47–54
23. Hiby EF, Rooney NJ, Bradshaw JWS. Dog training methods: their use, effectiveness and interaction with behaviour and welfare. *Anim Welf* 2004; 13, 63-69.
24. Hsu Y, Sun L. Factors associated with aggressive responses in dogs. *Appl Anim Behav Sci* 2010; 123, 108-129
25. Huber, A.; Barber, A.L.A.; Faragó, T.; Müller, C.A.; Huber, L. Investigating emotional contagion in dogs (*Canis familiaris*) to emotional sounds of humans and conspecifics. *Anim. Cogn* 2017, 20, 703–715
26. Kurachi T, Irimajiri M. Preliminary study on the effects of attendance at dog training school on minimizing development of some anxiety disorders. *J Vet Behav* 2019; 34: 13-17
27. Mazur, J.E. Basic principle of classical conditioning. In: *Learning and behavior*. 6th edition. Upper Saddle River (NJ): Pearson Education. 2006; 76–81.
28. Merola I, Prato-Previde E, Mashall-Pescini S. Dogs' social referencing towards owners and strangers. *PLoS One*. 2012; 7(10): e47653.
29. Merola, I., Prato-Previde, E. & Marshall-Pescini, S. Social referencing in dog-owner dyads?. *Anim Cogn* 2012;15:175–185
30. Muller CA, Schmitt K, Barber ALA et al. Dogs Can Discriminate Emotional Expressions of Human Faces *Current Biology* 2015; 25, 601–605
31. Pereira M, Lourenco A, Lima M et al. Evaluation of mediating and moderating effects on the relationship between owners' and dogs' anxiety: A tool to understand a complex problem, *J Vet Behav* 2021; 44: 55-61
32. Pongrácz P, Szapu J, Faragó T. Cats (*Felis silvestris catus*) read human gaze for referential information. *Intelligence* 2019; 74: 43–52.
33. Quaranta A, Amoruso S, Siniscalchi M. Emotion recognition in cats. *Animals* 2020; 10: 1107
34. Riemer S, Ellis SLH, Thompson H et al. Reinforcer effectiveness in dogs—The influence of quantity and quality. *Appl Anim Behav Sci* 2018; 2016, 87-93
35. Rooney NJ, Cowan S. Training methods and owner-dog interactions: Links with dog behaviour and learning ability. *Appl Anim Behav Sci* 2011; 132: 169-177
36. Salamon A, Száraz J, Miklósi Á et al. Movement and vocal intonation together evoke social referencing in companion dogs when confronted with a suspicious stranger. *Anim Cogn* 2020; 23, 913–924
37. San Francisco SPCA. What's wrong with the prong: Scientific studies and references. [https://www.sfspca.org/behavior-training/prong/studies/?fbclid=IwAR1m5W4XCsQLmytJHUETVfmOGAkj1fSeYI6bTohSmb9iONln\\_t7f9N-6\\_zQ](https://www.sfspca.org/behavior-training/prong/studies/?fbclid=IwAR1m5W4XCsQLmytJHUETVfmOGAkj1fSeYI6bTohSmb9iONln_t7f9N-6_zQ)
38. Scandurra A, Alterisio A, Aria M, et al. Should I fetch one or the other? A study on dogs on the object choice in the bimodal contrasting paradigm. *Anim Cogn* 2018; 21:119-126.

39. Shreve KR, Udell MA. Stress, security, and scent: The influence of chemical signals on the social lives of domestic cats and implications for applied settings. *Appl Anim Behav Sci* 2017; 187: 69–76.
40. Todd Z. Review. Barriers to the adoption of humane dog training methods. *J Vet Behav* 2018;25: 28-34
41. Vitale Shreve, K.R., Udell, M.A.R., 2015. What's inside your cat's head? A review of cat (*Felis silvestris catus*) cognition research past, present and future. *Anim.Cogn.*, 1–12, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10071-015-0897-6>.
42. Waggoner LP, Jones M, Williams M et al. Effects of extraneous odors on canine detection. *Proc SPIE* 3575. Enforcement and security technologies 1998; <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.335008>
43. Wilson C, Campbell K, Petzel Z, et al. Dogs can discriminate between human baseline and psychological stress condition odours. 2022; *PLoS ONE* 17(9): e0274143. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0274143>
44. Wormald D, Lawrence AJ, Carter C et al. Analysis of correlations between social exposure and reported aggression in dogs. *J Vet Behav* 2016;15:31-36
45. Ziv G. The effects of using aversive training methods in dogs: A review. *J Vet Behav* 2017;19:50-60